1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 6 CHARLES V. FARNSWORTH, 7 Plaintiff, Case No. C20-5007-MJP-MLP 8 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S 9 v. MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESEPOND TO DEFENDANTS' TEDDI ARMSTRONG, et al., 10 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION Defendants. 11 12 This is a civil rights action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter comes before the 13 14 Court at the present time on Plaintiff Charles Farnsworth's motion for an extension of time to file a response to Defendants' pending summary judgment motion. (Dkt. #94.) Plaintiff cites to 15 limitations on law library access and to his own cognitive decline as reasons justifying the 16 17 requested extension. (Id. at 2.) Defendants have not opposed the requested extension, and the Court deems Plaintiff's request reasonable. 18 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS as follows: 19 (1) Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file a response to Defendants' 20 21 motion for summary judgment (dkt. # 94) is GRANTED. Plaintiff is directed to file his response not later than Monday, December 13, 2021. 22 23 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 1

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 2